## REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1-5 remain in the application and are subject to examination.

Under the heading "Claim Rejections – 35 USC  $\S$  102" on page 3 of the above-

identified Office Action, claims 1-3 have been rejected as being fully anticipated

by U.S. Patent No. 6,912,306 to Nakabayashi et al. under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

Applicant respectfully traverses.

Nakabayashi et al. do not teach a method incorporating a white point (X, Y, Z),

but rather only teach using an absolute luminance Y<sub>MW</sub> of the medium, for

example, the image display 2 or a sheet of paper (See column 6, line 65

through column 7, line 44). A white point is a set of tristimulus values or

chromaticity coordinates defining the color white.

In contrast, claim 1 defines a method of transforming color values of a first

device-dependent color space into color values of a second device-dependent

color space, to effect a substantially identical visual impression of colors

reproduced in the first and second color spaces, the method which comprises:

providing a first color profile characterizing the first color space and providing a

second color profile characterizing the second color space;

Page 2 of 6

wherein the first and second color profiles specify an association

between the color values of the first and second device-dependent color

spaces and the color values of a device-independent color space:

wherein a white point of the first device-dependent color space, a white

point of the second device-dependent color space, and a white point of

the device-independent color space are described by device-

independent white point values;

determining relative color values of the device-independent color space from

the color values of the first device-dependent color space by way of the

association specified in the first color profile;

converting the relative color values into absolute color values in a ratio

corresponding to a ratio of the values of the white point of the first device-

dependent color space and the white point of the device-independent color

space:

determining chromatically adapted color values from the absolute color values

by way of a chromatic adaptation transformation, the chromatic adaptation

transformation includes converting the absolute color values into receptor

signals L. M. S of color receptors by use of matrix multiplication:

Page 3 of 6

adapted color values in <u>a ratio corresponding to a ratio of the values of the</u>

white point of the device-independent color space and the white point of the

second device-dependent color space; and

determining color values of the second device-dependent color space from the

relative chromatically adapted color values by way of the association specified

in the second color profile.

The invention defined by claim 1 is simply not taught or suggested in

Nakabayashi et al. Nakabayashi et al. teach a process taking black adaptation

into account by converting XYZ values into cone signals using chromatic

adaptation transformations. The reference considers the black adaptation of

medium that is affected by ambient light and the medium itself. The influence

of the medium that is considered is just the absolute luminance Y<sub>MW</sub> of the

medium. The white point itself with all of the components XYZ is not important

for the black adaptation taught by the reference.

Under the heading "Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103" on page 6 of the above-

identified Office Action, claims 4 and 5 have been rejected as being obvious

over U.S. Patent No. 6,912,306 to Nakabayashi et al. in view of Kim Jin-Seo et

al. (Development of Color Management System Prototype) under 35 U.S.C. §

Applicant respectfully traverses.

Page 4 of 6

Even if it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Nakabayashi et

al. and Kim Jin-Seo et al. (Development of Color Management System

Prototype) as alleged by the Examiner, the invention as defined by claim 1

could not have been obtained for the reasons specified above. The invention

as defined by claims 4 and 5 also would not have been obtained.

It is accordingly believed to be clear that none of the references, whether taken

alone or in any combination, either show or suggest the features of claim 1.

Claim 1 is, therefore, believed to be patentable over the art. The dependent

claims are believed to be patentable as well because they all are ultimately

dependent on claim 1.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-5 are

solicited.

In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable.

counsel would appreciate receiving a telephone call so that, if possible,

patentable language can be worked out.

Page 5 of 6

Appl. No. 10/767,577 Amdt. Dated August 21, 2007 Reply to Office Action of June 22, 2007

Please charge any fees that might be due with respect to Sections 1.16 and

1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP, No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,

/Laurence A. Greenberg/ Laurence A. Greenberg (Reg. No. 29,308)

MPW:cgm

August 21, 2007

Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP P.O. Box 2480 Hollywood, Florida 33022-2480

Tel.: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101